



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,031	08/01/2003	Philip E. Eggers	NET 2-040-3 4698		
7590 01/18/2005			EXAM	INER	
Gerald L. Smith			COHEN, LEE S		
Mueller and Sm					
7700 Rivers Edge Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Columbus, OH 43235			3739		
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/633,0	31	EGGERS ET AL.				
		Examin (Art Unit				
		Lee S. Co		3739				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover shet with the corresponding address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)⊠	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.40)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,031

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12, 14-30, 58, 59, and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 – "said electrosurgical frequency" in line 8 is vague since it lacks a prior recital in the claim. Claim 14 - references to "said monitor signal" in line 5 and "said program control signal" in line 9 are vague as plural such signals have been previously recited. Claim 15 – reference to "said program control signal" in line 2 is vague as plural such signals have been previously recited. Claim 16 – "said d.c. link voltage amplitude" in line 2 lacks antecedent basis. Claim 18 – reference to "said program control signal" in line 2 is vague as plural such signals have been previously recited. Claim 22 – reference to "said program control signal" in lines 2 and 3 are vague as plural such signals have been previously recited. Claim 24 is vague as a step of monitoring a select electrical parameter has not been recited; a power monitor signal has been previously provided; and "said monitor signal" in line 5 and "said program control signal" in the last line lack clear antecedents. Claim 25 - reference to "said program control signal" in line 2 is vague as plural such signals have been previously recited. Claim 29 – reference to "said program control signal" in lines 2 and 3 are vague as plural such signals have been previously recited. Claim 42 – "said first inverter" in line 3 lacks antecedent basis. Claim 47 - "valve" should read --value--. Claim 58 - the high voltage monitor has been previously set forth in claim 41. Claim 59 – the high voltage current monitor has been previously

Application/Control Number: 10/633,031

Art Unit: 3739

set forth in claim 41. Claim 62 – the high voltage monitor has been previously set forth in claim 41.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 31-57, 60, and 61 are allowed.

Claims 1-12, 14-30, 58, 59, and 62-64 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim Objections

Claims 13, 14, and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 13 ends in a semicolon, claim 14, line 3 – "e" is vague, and claim 46 – "valve' should be --value--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The cross-reference to the parent application should be updated as should the reference to the copending application at page 8.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art is cited as in the parent application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,031

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Lee S. Cohen **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3739

Page 4

LSC January 13, 2005